

Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY23 Snake River Team Water Quality LWG

Program: EQIP

Pool Status: Active

States: WA (Admin)

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template -
Amended October 2022

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Misty Seaboldt

Last Modified: 11/29/2022
2

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Field pesticide loss	0	29	100
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	70	100
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	1	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	33	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	34	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	--	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	33	100

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices
Hedgerow Planting	422	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Saturated Buffer	604	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Vegetated Treatment Area	635	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY23 Snake River Team Water Quality (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Washington State Snake River Team	Washington State Snake River Team	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA Snake River Team Water Quality	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Statewide Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as either Beginning Farmer or Socially Disadvantaged participant, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0

Section: Statewide Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS645, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0
Applicants practice schedule is four years or less (ending 12/31/2027 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Snake River Team Water Quality

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is project within 300 ft of a feature on NRCS geodata water layer to be eligible.	Project must be within 300 ft of a feature on NRCS geodata water layer to be eligible.	--
	Otherwise	--
Will the application project be implemented directly adjacent to a fish bearing waters?	YES	50
	NO	0
Will the application project be implemented for the primary purpose of improving nutrients transported to surface and/or ground water?	YES	100
	NO	0